**To:** McWhirter, Lisa[McWhirter.Lisa@epa.gov]

From: Dermer, Michele

**Sent:** Thur 8/6/2015 7:33:04 PM **Subject:** RE: for you to add/subtract to

Remind me 120 page analysis, what's that? But no, we need to add status of the 3 chevron wells update.

From: McWhirter, Lisa

Sent: Thursday, August 06, 2015 12:28 PM

To: Dermer, Michele

Subject: RE: for you to add/subtract to

Did we mention the 3 chevron wells – status—did they look at 120 page analysis?

From: Dermer, Michele

Sent: Wednesday, August 05, 2015 3:50 PM

To: McWhirter, Lisa

Subject: RE: for you to add/subtract to

Yes, I would prefer to not to put Distruct 4 on the agenda and just ask all of them

You can add all yhour questions to #1.

From: McWhirter, Lisa

Sent: Wednesday, August 05, 2015 3:34 PM

To: Dermer, Michele

Subject: RE: for you to add/subtract to

Not sure if this is included below, but what about District 4? What's going on? I think David wanted to know what specifically was happening in D4- communication between Operators and D4? Is that your item #4 below?

From: Dermer, Michele Sent: Wednesday, August 05, 2015 3:25 PM To: McWhirter, Lisa Subject: for you to add/subtract to	
1.	Review of 7/31 deliverable
- proj	What is the difference in the information known for "project" versus "not associated wit ect"
2.	Format for the updated, comprehensive Category 1 report, with results from information ers, map request
3.	Arroyo Grande AE package status, others
4.	Cat 2 AE packages schedule
5.	New wells (outside of the 532)
6.	Elk Hills, Alon, San Joaquin Refinery
Mic	hele Dermer
	hele Dermer nking Water Protection Section

(415) 972-3417

 $\underline{Dermer.michele@epa.gov}$